

1 RICHARD JAFFE, ESQ.
State Bar No. 289362
2 428 J Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814
3 Tel: 916-492-6038
4 Fax: 713-626-9420
Email: rickjaffeesquire@gmail.com

5 ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR., ESQ.
6 MARY HOLLAND, ESQ.
(Subject to *pro hac vice* admission)
7 Children’s Health Defense
752 Franklin Ave., Suite 511
8 Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417
Telephone: (202) 854-1310
9 mary.holland@childrenshealthdefense.org

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13
14 LE TRINH HOANG, D.O., PHYSICIANS
FOR INFORMED CONSENT, a not-for-profit
15 organization, and CHILDREN’S HEALTH
DEFENSE, CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, a
16 California Nonprofit Corporation

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.
19

20 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as
Attorney General of California and,
21 ERIKA CALDERON, in her official capacity
as Executive Officer of the Osteopathic
22 Medical Board of California (“OMBC”),

23 Defendants.
24

Case No: 2:22-cv-02147-DAD-AC

**DECLARATION OF SHIRA
MILLER, M.D. IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Date: January 17, 2023
Time: 1:30 PM
Courtroom: 5, 14th floor (via Zoom)
Judge: Hon: Dale A. Drozd

Action Commenced: December 1, 2022

25 SHIRA MILLER, M.D. declares as follows:

26 1. I am over the age of 18, and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth
27 herein. I am the Founder and President of Physicians for Informed Consent (“PIC”) which is a
28

1 Plaintiff in this case. I submit this declaration under penalty of perjury in support of our motion
2 for a preliminary injunction. If called to testify, I would truthfully testify as follows:

3 **2.** First, I have reviewed the factual allegations in the Complaint concerning PIC,
4 and I can attest that the information is true and correct.

5 **3.** PIC is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit educational organization whose mission is, among
6 other things, to deliver data on infectious diseases and vaccines, and unite doctors, scientists,
7 healthcare professionals, attorneys, and families who support voluntary vaccination. The vision
8 of PIC is that doctors and the public are able to evaluate the data on infectious diseases and
9 vaccines objectively, and voluntarily engage in informed decision-making about vaccination.

10 **4.** PIC produces educational materials on infectious diseases and vaccines, with a
11 focus on science and statistics. During the pandemic, PIC has produced COVID-19 Disease
12 Information Statements (DIS) and COVID-19 Vaccine Risk Statements (VRS) and public
13 service announcements, which contain data collated from peer-reviewed published medical
14 literature from the U.S. and around the world but may or may not be contrary to the
15 “contemporary scientific consensus” in California at a particular moment. It is not clear if under
16 AB 2098 it will be illegal for physicians in California to distribute PIC’s educational documents
17 regarding COVID-19 to their patients.

18 **5.** Assembly Bill 2098, due to its lack of clarity and censorship of physician speech,
19 has alienated and outraged physicians in our group and already some PIC physicians have
20 moved out of state, or are thinking about moving out of state if the law goes into effect.

21 **6.** As president of PIC, I am privy to both confidential and public communications
22 to the organization from the general public, from inquiries through our website and social
23 media to inquiries at our events. I am also privy to communications with our physicians, both
24 individually and in our confidential and private web forum. There is no question in my mind
25 based on these conversations that AB 2098 will cause a chilling effect on some physicians,
26 while other physicians will continue to educate their patients and express their medical
27 opinion—even if they have to move and obtain a medical license in another state.


28 Additionally, PIC has received threats that its doctors will lose their medical licenses

1 unless we remove our COVID-19 educational documents from the PIC website, as it is
2 presumed that our doctors will be discussing the documents with their patients. Although
3 the names of nearly all of our physician members are confidential, information about our
4 leadership and founding members is public.

5 7. For the Court's information, as far as I know, there is no such thing as a Covid
6 treatment which consists solely of a physician's speech.

7 8. Notably, there is an inadvertent conflation in paragraph 73 of the Complaint
8 that I would like to clarify. The risk to young males of a cardiac adverse event due to mRNA
9 vaccination (such as the Pfizer vaccine) is different than the risk to young females of a
10 clotting adverse event due to the adenovirus vector vaccine by Janssen (Johnson & Johnson).
11 As the CDC stated: "On April 13, 2021, CDC and FDA recommended a pause in the use of
12 Janssen COVID-19 vaccine after reports of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome
13 (TTS), a rare condition characterized by low platelets and thrombosis, including at unusual
14 sites such as the cerebral venous sinus (cerebral venous sinus thrombosis [CVST]), after
15 receipt of the vaccine.* ACIP rapidly convened two emergency meetings to review reported
16 cases of TTS, and 10 days after the pause commenced, ACIP reaffirmed its interim
17 recommendation for use of the Janssen COVID-19 vaccine in persons aged ≥ 18 years, but
18 included a warning regarding rare clotting events after vaccination, primarily among women
19 aged 18–49 years (3)." <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/71/wr/mm7103a4.htm>. See also
20 the declaration of Sanjay Verma, M.D., page 7, section III ("The Safety of COVID-19
21 Vaccines"), in support of preliminary injunction.

22
23 December 6, 2022

24
25 
26

27 Shira Miller, M.D.
28