1	RICHARD JAFFE, ESQ.	
2	State Bar No. 289362	
	428 J Street, 4 th Floor	
3	Sacramento, California 95814 Tel: 916-492-6038	
4		
5	Email: rickjaffeesquire@gmail.com	
6	ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR., ESQ.	
7	MARY HOLLAND, ESQ.	
/	(Subject to <i>pro hac vice</i> admission)	
8	Children's Health Defense	
9	752 Franklin Ave., Suite 511 Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417	
	Telephone: (202) 854-1310	
10	mary.holland@childrenshealthdefense.org	
11		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	LETRINH HOANG, D.O., PHYSICIANS	
16	FOR INFORMED CONSENT, a not-for profit	
10	organization, and CHILDREN'S HEALTH	Case No: 2:22-cv-02147-DAD-AC
17	DEFENSE, CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, a	
18	California Nonprofit Corporation,	
	DI : (100	DECLADATION OF LAMIE
19	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JAMIE COKER-ROBERTSON IN
20	V.	SUPPORT PLAINTIFFS'
21		MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as	110011011
22	Attorney General of California and, ERIKA CALDERON, in her official capacity as	Date: January 17, 2023
23	Executive Officer of the Osteopathic Medical	Time: 1:30 PM Courtroom: 5, 14 th floor (via Zoom)
	Board of California ("OMBC"),	Judge: Hon. Dale A. Drozd
24		
25	Defendants.	Action Commenced: December 1, 2022
26		
27		
28		

- 1. I, Jamie Coker-Robertson, am the mother of a 14-year-old child (SS). I am making this declaration from my personal experience. SS has been a patient of Dr. Hoang her whole life, since birth. SS is healthy and unvaccinated for Covid-19.
- 2. SS has a routine medical appointment with Dr. Hoang in February 2023, where I know we'll discuss Covid-19 and vaccination because of the pandemic. I will be present during the appointment, and I have lots of questions for Dr. Hoang, some of which are detailed because I want to get her honest opinion on scientific developments, such as risk of myocarditis.
- 3. Dr. Hoang advised us that she is a plaintiff in this case, and that she has a plan to provide our family with independent advice and treatment from her integrative medicine perspective, but also she will let us know conventional information from the CDC.
- **4.** In preparation for the appointment, Dr. Hoang shared with me two declarations in support of a motion for preliminary injunction in this case: her own declaration and the declaration of Sanjay Verma, MD.
- 5. I want to be assured that my daughter's appointment in February (and any future appointment) is free from undue influence by the osteopathic medical board. As long as AB2098 is a law in California, I will never be able to trust that my physician patient relationship is truly sacrosanct. I feel violated by AB2098.
- **6.** AB2098 forces me into a predicament regardless of the outcome of our appointment, meaning that in February either (1) Dr. Hoang will violate AB2098 by providing me candid information outside "contemporary scientific consensus" exactly like the preliminary injunction declarations say will happen, or (2) Dr. Hoang will self-censor herself to my family's detriment.
- **7.** But as long as AB2098 is the law, how am I *really* supposed to know there is no self-censorship? AB2098 is an outrageous intrusion to our doctor-patient relationship.
- 8. I have asked Dr. Hoang to file this declaration in court in the hopes that AB2098 can be enjoined before I'm forced into this predicament at our next doctor appointment.

 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and correct.

Case 2:22-cv-02147-DAD-AC Document 4-4 Filed 12/06/22 Page 3 of 3